

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
5/26	SERGIO LOPEZ	SERGIO LOPEZ	JOHN ZAMPEL	504/ACE-ONE 1-2252

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
<u>General Purpose Degreasing</u>	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	NO
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	YES
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	YES
Did it have an objectionable odor	YES
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	NO
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	NOT TERRIBLE, BUT NOT ALMOST AS GOOD
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	YES
Did it take longer to clean	YES
Did you like the product	NO
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	NO

User Comments

DIDNT CLEAN WELL, GREASE-E-OUT, TO MUCH MIST THAT SMELLED

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
5/15/85	HOWARD	HOWARD	JOHN ZAVODI	2-4-9001001 2-7750

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
General Purpose Degreasing	<u>Brake Cleaning</u>	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	NO
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	NO
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	YES
Did it have an objectionable odor	YES, AFTER EXTENDED USE IT WAS NOTICEABLE
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	YES, LEFT OILY RESIDUE
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	TERIBLE, NOT TERRIBLE, BUT NOT ALWAY AS GOOD
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	YES
Did it take longer to clean	YES
Did you like the product	NO
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	NO

User Comments

COULD BE USED FOR GENERAL PURPOSE OR BRAKE CLEANING
LEFT OILY RESIDUE, DIDN'T CLEAN VERY WELL

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

MORGAN'S AUTOMOTIVE

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
5/25	TOM ESCHWEL	GREG MORGAN	JOHN ZAVARIL	204/AGG 70-10 L 7/35

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
General Purpose Degreasing	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
SRG BRKACEN (GALIN)	18-24 CANS	1-TESTING	1-CAN

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	NO
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	NO, TO ONLY
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	YES
Did it have an objectionable odor	YES
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	YES, ONLY
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	ALMOST AS GOOD, NOT TERRIBLE, BUT NOT GOOD
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	NO, DIDNT THINK MORE WAS USED
Did it take longer to clean	YES
Did you like the product	NO
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	YES, WOULD TAKE AROUND 20% MORE LABOR FOR CLEANING

User Comments

USES WATER BASED BRAKE WASHER, F-PREFERS TO USE BRKACEN WASHER

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
5/7/75	Tom Esquivel	GREG MORGAN	JOE ZAVADIL	209-112570-1 1-12570

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
<u>General Purpose Degreasing</u>	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
OPC BRILLON	12-24 CANS	1-TESTING	1-CAN

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES, BUT NEEDED HELP. SCRABBING/GRINDING
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	NO, OILY.
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	YES
Did it have an objectionable odor	YES, OVERSPRAY ON HOT EXHAUST IS HORRIBLE
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	YES, OILY
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	ALMOST AS GOOD WITH HELP
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	YES
Did it take longer to clean	YES
Did you like the product	NO
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	YES, WOULD TAKE 20% MORE TIME TO CLEAN.

User Comments

DEGREASER WORK AS WELL AS SOME OF THE WATER BASED PRODUCTS TESTED. OVERSPRAY ON HOT PART LIKE EXHAUST CREATED A BAD SMELL.

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

MORGAN'S AUTOMOTIVE

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
5/25	Tom Esquivel	GREG MORGAN	Sam Zavala	504-461-1006 L-1759

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
<u>General Purpose Degreasing</u>	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
VOLVO BRAKLEN	12-24 CANS	1-TESTING	1-CAN

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES, BUT NEEDED HELP. SCRUBBING/HELP
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	NO, ONLY
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	YES
Did it have an objectionable odor	YES, OVERSPRAY ON ^{HOT} EXHAUST IS HORRIBLE
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	YES, ONLY
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	ALMOST AS GOOD WITH HELP
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	YES
Did it take longer to clean	YES
Did you like the product	NO
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	YES WOULD TAKE 20% MORE LABOR TO CLEAN

User Comments

NEEDS WORK AS WELL AS SOME OF THE WATER BASED PRODUCTS TESTED OVERSPRAY ON HOT EXHAUST CAUSED A BAD SMELL

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

SANTA MONICA AUTO

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
			<i>JOHN ZAVADIL</i>	

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
<u>General Purpose Degreasing</u>	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
<i>BRINK'S 400-3</i>	<i>12 CANS</i>	<i>1-MECH</i>	<i>1-CAN</i>

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	<i>YES</i>
Did you like the delivery rate	<i>YES</i>
Did you like the delivery pattern	<i>YES</i>
Did it dry sufficiently	<i>YES, LITTLE ONLY</i>
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	<i>NO</i>
Did the product have a smell	<i>NO</i>
Did it have an objectionable odor	<i>NO</i>
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	<i>YES, LITTLE ONLY</i>
Did it have too much foam	<i>NO</i>
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	<i>ALMOST AS GOOD</i>
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	<i>YES</i>
Did it take longer to clean	<i>YES</i>
Did you like the product	<i>NO</i>
Would you buy the test product	<i>NO</i>
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	<i>NO</i>
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	<i>YES</i>
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	<i>NO</i>
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	<i>YES, WOULD TAKE LONGER</i>

User Comments

DIDNT CLEAN AS WELL AS CURRENT CLEANERS - LET BEHIND TEST RESULTS

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

MERCEDES-BENZ

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
8/25/04	FRANSTED	MIKE HANKE	JOY ZIMMEL	CYBER SPRAY II

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> General Purpose Degreasing	<input type="radio"/> Brake Cleaning	<input type="radio"/> Engine Degreasing	<input type="radio"/> Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
NAC BREAKER/QUICK	1-2 CANS	FRANSTED	2 CANS

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	YES, SLOW
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	YES
Did it have an objectionable odor	NO
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	NO
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	ALMOST AS GOOD
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	NO
Did it take longer to clean	NO
Did you like the product	OK
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	NO
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	PROBABLY, YES (WOULD TAKE MORE TIME)

User Comments

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

NEWMAN AUTO

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
8/3/68	NEWMAN	IRA NEWMAN	John Z.	CARBON SUCN 11

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
<u>General Purpose Degreasing</u>	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
MISC/CAC			

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	YES
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	NO
Did it have an objectionable odor	NO
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	NO
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	AS GOOD OR ALMOST AS GOOD
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	NO
Did it take longer to clean	NO
Did you like the product	YES
Would you buy the test product	YES
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	YES
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	MADE
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	YES

User Comments

WORKED PRETTY GOOD FOR ALL GENERAL PURPOSE
CLEANING

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

NEWMAN AUTO

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product ID.
8/3/01	JRA Santos	JRA NEWMAN	SON Z	CYBER SERV 22

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
General Purpose Degreasing	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
MTSC	2-4 CANS	JRA	4-CANS

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	YES
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	NO
Did it have an objectionable odor	NO
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	NO
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	AS GOOD, OR ALMOST AS GOOD
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	NO
Did it take longer to clean	NO
Did you like the product	YES
Would you buy the test product	MAYBE
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	" "
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	MAYBE
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	YES.

User Comments

WORK PRETTY GOOD ON DRUM BRAKE CLEANING
ALSO WORK EXCELLENT AS BATTERY POST CLEANER

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
8/13/01	LUTS	NICK	JOHN Z.	CH360 Spray II

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
<u>General Purpose Degreasing</u>	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
CRC BRAKE CLEAN	10-CANS	LUTS	2-CANS

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	YES
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	YES, LEFT WHITE RESIDUE
Did the product have a smell	NO
Did it have an objectionable odor	NO
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	YES, LEFT WHITE RESIDUE
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	TERIBLE
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	NO
Did it take longer to clean	NO
Did you like the product	NO
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	NO

User Comments

LEFT WHITE RESIDUE ON ALL PLASTIC, RUBBER, METAL.
SUSPECT IT WAS CAUSED FROM CLEANING IN THE SUN ON
A VERY HOT DAY

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
9/27/04	BRIAN	JOHN RUSSELL	JOHN ZAKADEL	CYBER SW11

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
General Purpose Degreasing	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
BRINKS NEW-CHLORINATED BRASS CLEANER	6-12 CANS	BRIAN	6 CANS

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	YES
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	NO
Did it have an objectionable odor	NO
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	NO
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	BETWEEN TERRIBLE AND ALMOST AS GOOD
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	NO
Did it take longer to clean	NO
Did you like the product	YES, OK.
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	YES

User Comments

WASN'T AS GOOD AS CURRENT BRAKE CLEANER; BUT WASN'T
A BAD PRODUCT

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
9/24/14	BOLE	John Russell	Jim Z	CYBER SONY 11

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
General Purpose Degreasing	<u>Brake Cleaning</u>	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
Current's NON-CORROSIVATES BRAKE CLEANER	10-12 CANS	BRUCE	6-CANS

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	YES
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	NO
Did it have an objectionable odor	NO
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	NO
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	ALMOST AS GOOD
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	NO
Did it take longer to clean	NO
Did you like the product	YES, WENT BAD
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	YES

User Comments

WASNT BAD, BUT NOT AS GOOD AS CURRENT BRAKE CLEANER.
HAD A LITTLE STICKY STUFF ON PADS, BUT WASNT A PROBLEM.
ALSO CLEANS ATF (AUTOMATIC TRANSMISSION FLUID)

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
9/2/81	JAC	JOHN RUSSELL	JOHN Z.	CVX P. 5000 11

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
General Purpose Degreasing	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
WYNN'S BRAKE CLEAN	1-CAN	JAC	1-CAN

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	NO
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	NO
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	NO
Did it have an objectionable odor	NO
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	YES
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	TERIBLE
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	YES
Did it take longer to clean	YES
Did you like the product	NO
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	NO

User Comments

DOESNT CUT GRASS - E - 056

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

ROSE DRIVE SHELL

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product ID.
7/31/01	JESUS	NICK	JOE ZAVADEL	CYBER SOLV II

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
<u>General Purpose Degreasing</u>	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
CRC BRAKE CLEAN	1-2 CANS	JESUS	1-CAN

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	YES
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	NO
Did it have an objectionable odor	NO
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	NO
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	ALMOST AS GOOD
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	NO
Did it take longer to clean	YES
Did you like the product	YES, OK
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	YES.

User Comments

LIKED CRC BRAKE CLEAN BETTER, WORKS BETTER, THE WHITE CAN WAS ALOT BAD

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

ARCO S.M.

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
8/3/64	SERGEO	MATHEW KAZE	JOHN ZAVADEL	RED CUBER SW

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
General Purpose Degreasing	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
GOODIE BRAKE CLEANER	1-2 CANS	SERGEO	5 CANS

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	YES
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	YES
Did it have an objectionable odor	YES
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	NO
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	ALMOST AS GOOD
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	YES
Did it take longer to clean	NO
Did you like the product	YES/NO
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	NO. NO SMELLS TO BAD, YES IF SMELL IS GONE

User Comments

DIDN'T CLEAN AS WELL AS CHEER SALT 27 OR
THE GOODIE BRAKE CLEANER

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product ID.
8/31/04	SERGEO	MATTHEW KAZG	JOE ZAVADEL	RED CAPER SOLV

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
General Purpose Degreasing	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
GUNK BRACE CLEANER	1-2 CANS	SERGEO	5-CANS

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	YES
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	YES
Did it have an objectionable odor	YES
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	NO
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	ALMOST AS GOOD
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	YES A LITTLE
Did it take longer to clean	YES A LITTLE
Did you like the product	NO
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	NO, SMELL'S TOO BAD, YES IF SMELL IS GONE

User Comments

WASNT AS BAD OF SMELL AS CYBER SOLV II, HOWEVER
CLEANING WAS GOOD

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

SAND WHEEL

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
11/21/04	HOWARD	SAND TIRE	JOHN ZAWADEL	REACHAR SEN

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
<input checked="" type="radio"/> General Purpose Degreasing	<input type="radio"/> Brake Cleaning	<input type="radio"/> Engine Degreasing	<input type="radio"/> Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
CRC BRAKLEEN	10-20	HOWARD	6 CANS

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	YES
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	NO
Did it have an objectionable odor	NO
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	NO
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product. (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	NOT VERY GOOD NOT TERRIBLE
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	NO
Did it take longer to clean	YES
Did you like the product	NO
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	MAYBE.

User Comments

DONT WORK AS WELL AS CYBER SOLV 22

Manager Comments

Automotive Aerosol Field Testing Interview

Date	User	Owner / Manager	Interviewer	Product I.D.
8/13/01	HOWARD	SAMO TIRE	JOY ZWADIL	RACINER SOLV.

Type of Cleaning Performed (Circle One Only)			
General Purpose Degreasing	Brake Cleaning	Engine Degreasing	Carburetor & Fuel Injection System Cleaning

Current Product	Current Weekly Usage	No. Mech. Using Product	Test Product Weekly Usage
CRC BRAKLEN	10-CANS	HOWARD	6-CANS

Question	User's Response
Did it clean sufficiently	YES
Did you like the delivery rate	YES
Did you like the delivery pattern	YES
Did it dry sufficiently	YES
Did it adversely effect substrates (metals, plastics, painted surfaces, etc)	NO
Did the product have a smell	NO
Did it have an objectionable odor	NO
Did it leave an unacceptable residue	NO
Did it have too much foam	NO
Cleaning versus current product (Terrible, almost as good, as good, better)	NOT VERY GOOD, BUT NOT TERRIBLE
Did you use more volume than your current cleaner	NO
Did it take longer to clean	YES
Did you like the product	NO
Would you buy the test product	NO
Would you buy the test product for home or personal use	NO
Would you buy your current product for home or personal use	YES
Would you switch to the test product (if less expensive, if more expensive)	NO
Could you clean adequately if you had only the test product	NO

User Comments

DIDNT WORK AS WELL AS C45CR SOLV 77

Manager Comments